

articles submitted for publication in the “Perspectives and Feedback” section

Issue theme:

Article title:

Article received on:

Article sent to expert on:

Expert reviewers: Rules and involvement

Articles submitted to *Gérontologie et société* are **evaluated by way of a double-blind review by two experts** on the subject. Articles are **submitted anonymously** to the **two reviewers** chosen by the editorial committee.

Reviewers who find that they are not sufficiently distanced to carry out an objective review must inform the editorial committee: 1) either by withdrawing themselves from the review process; or 2) by explaining the problem to the committee, which shall assess the **ethical risk**. If there is found to be a conflict of interest, other experts shall be solicited.

Reviewers must adhere to the following **confidentiality rules**:

- The reviewer should not investigate the identity of the authors and other reviewers of the article in question;
- The submitted article should not be circulated to anyone outside the editorial committee;
- The expert report must be sent to the editorial committee only.

When giving their opinion, reviewers should take care to present their views in a way that is constructive and respectful of authors' work.

Please complete this form and return it to the editorial coordination team:

by email to: cnavgerontologieetsociete@cnav.fr (please attach your form in Word format)

or by mail to: Cnav - Unité de recherche sur le vieillissement- Gérontologie et société

22 ter rue des Volontaires - 75015 Paris

Recommended for publication	No amendments required	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Subject to minor amendments	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Subject to major amendments	<input type="checkbox"/>

Not recommended for publication	Does not meet the objectives of the journal	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Quality of contribution inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Quality of writing inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/>

I. General comments

Please check the boxes for each of the criteria. If certain criteria do not apply, you can leave the boxes blank.

In section II, you will be able to provide further details about the different aspects of the article you have evaluated

Criteria, depending on the purpose of the feedback	Unsatisfactory	Less than satisfactory	Satisfactory	Highly satisfactory
1. Relevance to the theme of the issue (except varia)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Presentation of the institutional, social and/or political context	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Description of the practices, the system, the programme, the study or the research-action	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Discussion with critical and objective reflection	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. For feedback on a practice, adequacy of conclusions or recommendations with content (rejection of an "unargued forum" approach)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. For feedback on a study, appropriateness of the literature for the objectives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Status and role of the author in the feedback, project	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Legibility and clarity of writing; Honest description of the experience in the text	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

II. Comments for authors

These comments should detail what makes the article particularly relevant for the journal and why it would be in the journal's interest to publish it, as well as highlighting errors, omissions, or problems that justify the comments that will be sent to the author so that they can make improvements to their text.

These comments should be anonymous, and as such should not include your name anywhere.

These comments will be sent on to authors as they are received, with no amendments made to them. The community of authors in the academic fields that encompass gerontology and its social implications is relatively small and it would be a shame to miss out on any future collaboration with this or that author. Even when explaining that the article has been rejected, please use language that will enable the author to see how they can make the required improvements, and therefore possibly re-submit their work to Gérontologie et société.

Additional comments to the editorial committee

Please provide the editorial committee with your comments and suggestions, be they about the form or content of the article.

There is no need to repeat here the comments intended for the author, since you have already detailed these in section II of this form.

Signature of expert:
(or first and last name)

Date:

Mailing List

I would like to receive the **Calls for Articles and Publication Notices** of Gerontology and Society:

yes

no

For more information

[Journal website](#)



[Cairn.info](#)



[Cairn International](#)



Gérontologie et société : General Principles

Editorial line and referencing

Gérontologie et société publishes **thematic and multidisciplinary** issues devoted to **the study of old age and aging**, theories, causes and consequences of their forms, dynamics and representations. The aim of the journal is to enable a dialogue between researchers from all disciplines concerned with ageing (anthropology, architecture, demography, economics, geography, management, geriatrics, history, philosophy, psychiatry, psychology, public health, political science, management sciences, nursing sciences, sociology, etc.).

The journal publishes **three issues a year**. The periodicity is fixed: spring, summer, winter.

Gérontologie et société is **referenced** by the High Council for the Evaluation of Research and Higher Education (Hcéres) in section 19: sociology and demography.

The journal is indexed in [Scopus](#), [Scimago](#), JournalBaseCNRS, International Bibliography of Social Sciences and PASCAL. Gerontology and Society has an **indexation criterion [Index H](#): 13**.

Submission and evaluation procedure

Gérontologie et société works by **calls for papers**. Articles can be submitted in English or French. The journal also welcomes **unsolicited submissions**, excluding calls for papers.

Articles must strictly comply with **the Author guidelines** and specify the section in which the article is submitted: "Original scientific articles" or "Perspectives and feedback" or "Free remarks".

The journal follows the article selection procedures in force in scientific journals: **double-blind evaluation** by two experts and review by the editorial board.

The **evaluation grids are specific** to each of the two sections "**Original scientific articles**" or "**Perspectives and feedback**", hence the importance of positioning your article in the appropriate section. The issue coordinators, editors-in-chief and the editorial coordination department can be reached in case of questions.

In addition, for the **submission** of articles based on **qualitative research protocols**, authors are invited to consult the methodological **guide for publication** in the journal *Gérontologie et société*.

Code of Ethics - Reminders

By submitting an article for publication, regardless of the submission section, **authors warrant that the article is original, has not been previously published, has not been submitted for publication to another journal, and will not be submitted until the referee's response**.

A check for possible cases of **plagiarism and self-plagiarism** can be carried out via plagiarism detection tools (such as Compilatio and Scribbr, Ouriginal...).

For articles submitted under the "Original Articles" or "Perspectives and Feedback" sections, confirmation must be given that the **ethical principles and the legal framework have been respected**.

Authors whose articles are accepted for publication permanently assign the copyright to the publisher. The items are **embargoed for a period of 3 years**. Authors may, however, disseminate the pre-shaping version on an open **platform for documentary open data** or republish part of their article freely under certain conditions.